- Dr -Elizabeth King Leadership in Uncertainty

Governance Learning Toolkit

Table of Contents

Attention Budget Toolkit	pp.	1-7
Board-Pack Mindfulness Audit Toolkit	pp.	8-13
Compassionate Discernment Toolkit	pp.	14-16
Dynamic Skills Assessment for Boards	pp.	17-24
Governance Learning Toolkit	pp. :	25-31
Meta-Attention Toolkit for Boards	pp.	32-34
Psychological Safety Assessment for Boards	pp.	35-42
Purpose-to-Agenda Line of Sight Toolkit	pp.	43-51
The Discernment Ladder Toolkit	pp.	52-54

– Dr – Elizabeth King eadership in Uncertainty

ATTENTION BUDGET TOOLKIT

COLLECTIVE ATTENTION ASSESSMENT

Rate your board's current attention management (1-5 scale)	
1=Rarely observed, 3=Sometimes observed, 5=Consistently demonstrated	
Attention Awareness Directors notice when collective focus drifts during meetings Energy levels are monitored throughout sessions Attention quality is considered when scheduling complex discussions Cognitive overload is recognized and addressed when it occurs	
Focus Allocation Highest-stakes decisions receive prime attention time Routine matters are processed efficiently without consuming strategic bandwidth Complex topics are scheduled when collective mental capacity is strongest Attention allocation matches stated strategic priorities	
Cognitive Load ManagementInformation volume is managed to preserve analytical capacityMeeting structure supports sustained focus on important mattersBreaks and transitions are used strategically to restore attentionDirectors arrive prepared and mentally ready for engagement	
Collective Flow Board achieves synchronized focus during strategic discussions — Ideas build effectively across different director perspectives — Creative solutions emerge from collective thinking — Discussions feel energizing rather than draining —	

– Dr – ing

COGNITIVE LOAD BUDGETING SYSTEM

1. Attention Point Allocation

Assign points based on complexity and strategic importance:

- 1 point: Simple updates, routine approvals
- 3 points: Moderate complexity, some analysis required
- 5 points: High complexity, significant strategic implications
- 7 points: Critical decisions, multiple stakeholder considerations
- 10 points: Transformational choices, long-term value creation

2. Meeting Budget Template

Total budget: 100 points for a 4-hour meeting

Agenda Item		Allocated Time	
Attention Points			
Agenda Item		Allocated Time	
Attention Poin	ts		
Agenda Item		Allocated Time	
Attention Poin	ts		

Repeat



PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFETY BUILDING PRACTICES

3. Optimal Timing Guidelines

Peak Attention Period (First 90 minutes):

Major strategic decisions

Complex problem-solving

Creative brainstorming

Difficult stakeholder trade-offs

Moderate Attention Period (Middle session):

Financial analysis and review

Risk assessment and mitigation

Operational oversight

Policy development

Lower Attention Period (End of session):

Routine approvals

Information updates

Administrative matters

Meeting logistics



ATTENTION MAPPING EXERCISE

Meeting Energy Tracking

Track collective attention quality every 30 minutes:

Time	Agenda Item	
Energy (1-10)	Focus (1-10)	
Time	Agenda Item	
Energy (1-10)	Focus (1-10)	
Time	Agenda Item	
Energy (1-10)	Focus (1-10)	

Repeat

Pattern Analysis Questions:

 When does collective energy peak?

 Which agenda items generate highest focus?

 What causes attention to drift or fragment?

 How long can the board sustain deep focus?



MINDFUL TRANSITION PROTOCOL

2-Minute Reset Practice Script

Between major agenda sections:

1. Chair: "Let's take a brief reset before moving to our next topic."

2. Pause (30 seconds) "Please close laptops and set aside papers."

3. Breathe (60 seconds) "Let's take three deep breaths together... Notice your current mental state."

4. Refocus (30 seconds) "Our next topic is _____. The outcome we're seeking is _____."

5. Chair: "With fresh attention, let's begin."



ATTENTION EFFECTIVENESS MEASUREMENT

Quantitative Metrics

Average attention quality ratings _____/10

Time allocation accuracy vs. planned budget: _____%

Number of decisions requiring follow-up due to insufficient focus:

Meeting duration vs. agenda completion ratio: _____%

Qualitative Indicators (1-5 scale)

Director engagement and energy levels: _____

Quality of strategic dialogue and insights: _____

Collective problem-solving effectiveness:

Post-meeting satisfaction and clarity: _____



SCORE INTERPRETATION AND NEXT STEPS

Collective Assessment Score:

- 65-80: Excellent attention management; maintain practices
- 50-64: Strong foundation; focus on specific improvements
- 35-49: Developing capability; implement structured practices

Below 35: Significant opportunity; begin with basics

Priority Action Steps Based on Lowest Scores:

If Attention Awareness scores lowest: Implement energy tracking
If Focus Allocation scores lowest: Adopt attention point budgeting
If Cognitive Load Management: scores lowest: Restructure meeting design
If Collective Flow scores lowest: Introduce mindful transition practices

Based on research from King & Badham (2019) "Mindfulness at work: A critical re-view"; King, Norbury & Rooney (2020) "Coaching for Leadership Wisdom"; and King & Murdoch (2021) "Mindful Board Assessment Survey."

Download additional resources at: www.drlizking.com

- Dr –

Board-Pack Mindfulness Audit Toolkit

Diagnostic Assessment

1. Information Volume Assessment

Rate your current board practices on a scale of 1-5 (1=Poor, 5=Excellent):

 Board papers consistently under 100 pages total: 	
• Executive summaries provided for all papers:	
 Information is clearly linked to strategic priorities: 	
• Papers clearly state required decisions upfront:	
• Time spent on "For Noting" items is strictly limited:	
Time spent of the noting items is strong influed.	

TOTAL SCORE ____ (out of 25)

<5: Critical attention needed | 6-15: Improvement required | 16-20: Good practices | 21-25: Excellent

The 3-Filter Funnel Checklist

Apply these filters to each board paper:

Filter 1: Strategic Relevance

- Directly supports a current strategic pillar
- □ Explicitly links to organizational purpose
- Addresses a board-level strategic concern
- □ Paper clearly states which strategic priority it serves

Filter 2: Risk Criticality

- □ Involves potential solvency impact
- □ Could affect regulatory/legal compliance

□ May impact organizational reputation

□ Represents a material financial consideration

– Dr – ing

Board-Pack Mindfulness Audit Toolkit

Filter 3: Decision Readiness

- Clearly states the decision required from the board
- Provides sufficient information for informed decision
- □ Includes implementation timeline and accountability
- □ Offers clear alternatives with pros/cons analysis

Papers that fail any filter should be:

- Returned for revision
- Moved to committee level
- Summarized in dashboard format
- Deferred to a future meeting



IMPLEMENTATION STEPS

1. Establish the 100/150 Rule

- 1. Set 100-page maximum for total board pack
- 2. Require 150-word executive brief for each paper addressing:
 - Decision sought
 - Strategic pillar supported
 - Risk of deferral

2. Implement the Discernment Bell

- 1. Chair signals 30-second pause before each agenda block
- 2. Directors close devices and take three conscious breaths
- 3. Chair states: "Let's clarify our intention for this discussion"

3. Tag All Agenda Items

DECIDE: Board decision required today

- □ DISCUSS: Input needed, decision at future meeting
- NOTE: Information only, limited discussion time

4. Conduct Quarterly Attention Audit

Record actual minutes spent on:

- □ Strategy: _____minutes
- Risk: ____minutes
- □ Talent: _____minutes
- □ Operations: _____minutes
- Compliance: _____minutes

Target: ≥60% on forward-looking topics (Strategy, Talent)



READY-TO-USE TEMPLATES

Board Paper Executive Brief Template (150 words max)

Decision required:

Strategic pillar supported:

Risk if deferred:

Recommended action:

Chair's Meeting Opening Script

"Before we begin, I'd like to remind us that our attention is our scarcest resource. Today's pack has been filtered for strategic relevance, risk criticality, and decision readiness. We'll use the Discernment Bell before each agenda block to reset our collective focus. Our goal is to spend at least 60% of our time on forwardlooking items. Let's begin with a moment to set our intention for today's meeting."



TRACKING YOUR PROGRESS

Monthly Meeting Metrics

Meeting date:		
 Total pages in board pack: Time spent on strategic items: Number of decisions made: Number of items deferred: Director energy level (1-10): 	minutes (%)

Six-Month Targets

- Reduce board pack by 30%
- Increase strategic discussion time to 60%
- Reduce clarification questions by 50%
- Improve director energy ratings by 25%



INTERPRETATION GUIDE

If your diagnostic score was under 15:

Focus first on implementing the 100/150 rule and agenda tagging. These structural changes create immediate capacity for more strategic discussion.

If your score was 16-20:

Implement the Discernment Bell and Quarterly Attention Audit to refine your existing good practices.

If your score was 21-25:

Focus on fine-tuning your approach through regular meta-reflection on board attention patterns.

Based on research from King & Badham (2019) Leadership in Uncertainty; King, Norbury & Rooney (2020) Coaching for Leadership Wisdom; Board Intelligence (2025); Miller (2023) Journal of Cognitive Science.

Download additional resources at: www.drlizking.com



COMPASSIONATE DISCERNMENT TOOLKIT

Pre-Decision Emotional Check-in Before analysing complex stakeholder decisions:

- DWhat am I feeling about this situation?
- □ What concerns are arising for me?
- □ How might my emotional state influence my judgment?
- □ What do I need to remain both caring and clear?

Stakeholder Impact Mapping Template

Decision Under Consideration:

Primary Stakeholders AHected:

Shareholders: Impact	Time horizon
Employees: Impact	Time horizon
Customers: Impact	Time horizon
Communities: Impact	Time horizon
Suppliers: Impact	Time horizon
Environment: Impact	Time horizon

Secondary Stakeholders:

Future generations:	
Industry peers:	
Regulatory bodies:	
Society at large:	

Dr -

Compassionate Discernment Framework

Level 1: Acknowledge Impact

All aHected parties identified and considered
 Emotional weight of impacts acknowledged
 Space created for enuine concern expression

Level 2: Separate Feeling from Analysis Emotional Recognition: "This situation involves..."

Strategic Assessment: "The options that best serve long-term interests are..."

Level 3: Expand Time Horizons Short-term compassionate choice: ______Long-term compassionate choice: ______Potential conflicts between short and long-term: ______

Level 4: Integrate Multiple Perspectives

Whose interests are prioritized in Option A?Whose interests are prioritized in Option B?Which groups' voices might be missing?How do we balance competing legitimate needs?

Level 5: Choose with Wisdom

Decision rationale that integrates both caring and strategy: How we would explain this to those most aHected:



COMPASSIONATE DISCERNMENT FRAMEWORK

Wisdom Council Protocol Questions

Before finalizing diHicult decisions:

- 1. Are we solving the right problem or just the urgent one?
- 2. How does this choice align with our deepest organizational values?
- 3. What would we want to be remembered for in this situation?

4. Which path serves both immediate needs and long-term flourishing?

- 5. How would we explain our reasoning to our harshest critics?
- 6. What would our wisest advisors counsel us to do?

Long-term Compassion Assessment

1-year impact considered for all stakeholder groups3-year consequences evaluated5-year stakeholder flourishing potential assessedUnintended consequences anticipated and mitigated

Post-Decision Learning

After implementing compassionate discernment decisions:

- What worked well in our process?
- What would we do diHerently next time?
- How did stakeholders respond to our reasoning?
- What did we learn about balancing care and clarity?

Dr –

DYNAMIC SKILLS ASSESSMENT FOR BOARDS

FUTURE SKILLS GAP ANALYSIS

Rate your board's current capability (1-5 scale: 1=Significant Gap, 5=Strong Capability)

Digital Transformation Oversight

- Understanding of AI and machine learning implications _____
- Cybersecurity risk assessment and governance _____
- Digital business model evaluation _____
- Data governance and privacy oversight _____

Climate and Sustainability

- Climate risk identification and mitigation _____
- □ ESG strategy development and oversight _____
- □ Sustainability reporting and metrics
- Transition planning and implementation _____

Stakeholder Capitalism

- Multi-stakeholder value creation assessment _____
- □ Stakeholder engagement strategy oversight _____
- □ Purpose-driven decision making

□ Social impact measurement and reporting _____

Emerging Technologies

- Quantum computing strategic implications _____
- □ Biotechnology and healthcare innovation _____
- □ Blockchain and cryptocurrency governance _____
- □ Robotics and automation workforce impact _____

Geopolitical and Regulatory

- □ Global trade and supply chain risk _
- Regulatory change anticipation and response _____
- □ Political risk assessment and mitigation _____
- □ Cross-border compliance and governance _____

Current Skills Score /100

COMPETENCY EVOLUTION PLANNING

Step 1: Identify Priority Learning Areas

Based on your organization's strategic challenges, rank these competency areas by

priority:

- _____ Digital transformation and technology governance
- _____ Climate risk and sustainability oversight
- _____ Stakeholder engagement and social impact
- _____ Emerging technology implications
- _____ Geopolitical and regulatory navigation
- _____ Cybersecurity and data governance
- _____ Innovation and disruption management
- _____ Workforce transformation and future of work

Step 2: Map Current Board Strengths

For each director, identify their top 3 expertise areas and emerging competency interests:

Director 1:	
0	
Current Strengths:	
Current Strengths:	
Director 4:	
Current Strengths:	Learning Interests:

18





COMPETENCY EVOLUTION PLANNING

Step 3: Identify Collective Gaps

Priority competency areas lacking adequate board coverage:

1. 2. 3.

ACCELERATED LEARNING FRAMEWORK

Expert Immersion Sessions

Schedule quarterly deep-dive learning sessions on emerging domains

Session Planning Template

Quarter:

Focus Area:

Expert Facilitator:

Learning Objectives:

1	
2	
<u> </u>	
3	



Pre-Session Preparation

- Background reading materials distributed
- □ Current organizational exposure to topic assessed
- □ Specific board questions and challenges identified
- Success metrics for learning session defined

Session Structure (3 hours)

- Context Setting (30 minutes): Why this matters for our organization
- Expert Briefing (60 minutes): Deep dive into domain fundamentals
- Interactive Exploration (60 minutes): Q&A and scenario discussion
- Application Planning (30 minutes): How to integrate into governance

Post-Session Actions

- □ Key insights documented and shared
- □ Governance implications identified
- □ Follow-up learning needs assessed
- □ Next session topic selected



REVERSE MENTORING PROGRAM

Mentor-Mentee Pairing Template

Pairing 1 Director:		
Focus Area:	 	
Meeting Frequency:	 	
Learning Goals:	 	
Pairing 2		
Director:	 	
Mentor:	 	
Focus Area:	 	
Meeting Frequency:	 	
Learning Goals:	 	
Pairing 3		
Director:	 	
Mentor:	 	
Focus Area:	 	
Meeting Frequency:	 	
Learning Goals:		

Mentoring Session Structure

- Current developments and trends (20 minutes)
- Governance implications discussion (20 minutes)
- Practical application examples (15 minutes)
- Questions and clarification (5 minutes)

Progress Tracking

Monthly check-ins to assess:

- Learning objectives achievement
- □ Governance application opportunities
- □ Additional learning needs identification
- □ Relationship effectiveness and satisfaction



SKILLS INTEGRATION WORKSHOP

Workshop Planning Guide

Objective: Connect new knowledge domains to existing governance frameworks Frequency: Semi-annual (every 6 months) Participants: All board directors plus key management Workshop Agenda (4 hours)

Opening (30 minutes)

- □ Review of new competencies developed over past 6 months
- □ Assessment of integration challenges and opportunities

Integration Exercise 1 (60 minutes)

- □ Case study: Applying new digital skills to strategic oversight
- □ Small group work connecting technology insights to risk management
- □ Plenary discussion of governance implications

Integration Exercise 2 (60 minutes)

- □ Scenario planning using climate and sustainability knowledge
- □ Board decision simulation incorporating stakeholder perspectives
- □ Reflection on enhanced decision-making capability

Framework Development (90 minutes)

- □ Update board charter and committee structures
- □ Revise information requirements and reporting formats
- □ Adjust meeting agendas to reflect new competencies
- □ Plan ongoing learning priorities

Action Planning (30 minutes)

- □ Specific governance improvements to implement
- Individual director development commitments
- □ Next workshop focus areas selection



COMPETENCY VALIDATION

Quarterly Skills Assessment

Review board's evolving capability against strategic requirements

Assessment Questions

- 1. How has our collective competency improved over the past quarter?
- 2. Which emerging challenges do we now feel better equipped to address?
- 3. What new governance blind spots have we identified?
- 4. How are we applying new knowledge in our oversight responsibilities?
- 5. What additional learning should we prioritize?

Skills Application Tracking

- □ New competencies applied in recent board decisions
- □ Enhanced strategic conversations due to expanded knowledge
- □ Improved risk identification from broader perspective
- □ Better stakeholder considerations through diverse insights

Annual Competency Review

- □ Comprehensive skills gap analysis against future challenges
- □ Individual director development achievement assessment
- □ Collective board learning effectiveness evaluation
- Next year's learning priorities and resource planning



IMPLEMENTATION SUCCESS METRICS

Learning Indicators

- Expert sessions completed per quarter: _____
- Directors participating in reverse mentoring _____%
- Skills integration workshops conducted: _____
- New competencies successfully applied: _____

Governance Impact Measures

- Strategic foresight capability improvement _____%
- Decision quality enhancement _____ %
- Risk identification effectiveness %
- Stakeholder consideration breadth _____%

Director Engagement

- Learning satisfaction scores: ____ /5.0
- Confidence in emerging domain oversight: _____/5.0
- Commitment to continued development _____%
- Board service meaning and impact: ____ /5.0

Based on research from King & Badham (2019), King, Norbury & Rooney (2020), and adaptive learning studies.

Download additional resources at: www.drlizking.com

26

Dr –

GOVERNANCE LEARNING TOOLKIT

Board Learning Readiness Assessment

Rate your board's current learning capability (1-5 scale)

Reflection Orientation

Board regularly examines its own decision-making processes Directors are comfortable discussing governance effectiveness Past decisions are reviewed for learning opportunities Process improvement is valued alongside outcome achievement

Pattern Recognition

Board identifies recurring themes in its discussions and decisions Effective practices are recognized and reinforced Problematic patterns are acknowledged and addressed Learning insights are documented and shared

Experimental Mindset

Board is willing to try new governance approaches Failures are treated as learning opportunities rather than blame occasions Innovation in governance processes is encouraged Success metrics are defined for governance experiments

Adaptive Capability

Board adjusts its practices based on learning insights Governance processes evolve to match changing strategic needs Directors demonstrate growth in their governance capabilities Collective wisdom increases over time

Dr -

Quarterly Governance Retrospective Template

Preparation Questions (Individual Reflection):

What were our most effective decisions this quarter? What decisions do we wish we had approached differently? What patterns do I notice in our collective thinking? Where did our governance processes serve us well or poorly?

Retrospective Session Structure (45 minutes)

Opening (5 minutes):

Set intention for learning-focused conversation Establish psychological safety for honest reflection

What Worked Well (15 minutes):

Identify effective decisions and processes Recognize positive patterns and capabilities Celebrate governance successes

What Could Be Improved (15 minutes):

Examine challenging decisions or outcomes Identify process weaknesses or blind spots Discuss recurring problematic patterns

Learning Integration (10 minutes):

Synthesize key insights from discussion Identify specific improvement opportunities Commit to experimentation or changes



DECISION PROCESS AUDIT FRAMEWORK

For Significant Decisions, Examine:

Information Gathering:

What information was considered? What sources were consulted? What information might have been missing? How was information quality assessed?

Discussion Dynamics:

Who contributed most to the discussion? Whose voices might have been underrepresented? How was dissent or disagreement handled? What assumptions went unquestioned?

Decision Process:

How was the decision ultimately made? What criteria were used to evaluate options? How were trade-offs and stakeholder impacts considered? What role did intuition vs. analysis play?

Outcome Assessment:

How well did the decision achieve intended outcomes? What unintended consequences emerged? What would we do differently with hindsight? What can we learn for future similar decisions?



LEARNING EXPERIMENT TEMPLATE

Experiment Design

Hypothesis:	
What we're testing:	
Success metrics:	
Timeline:	
Resources needed:	

Implementation Plan

Week 1:	
Week 2:	
Week 3:	
Week 4:	

Data Collection

Quantitative measures:	
Qualitative observations:	
Feedback sources:	

Evaluation Criteria

Success indicators:	
Failure indicators:	
Learning objectives:	

Results and Learning

What worked:	
What didn't work:	
Unexpected insights:	
Recommendations for future	:



WISDOM INTEGRATION SESSION GUIDE

Semi-Annual Deep Reflection Questions:

Purpose Alignment

How well do our governance practices serve our deepest organizational purpose?

What tensions exist between our espoused values and actual practices? Where might we be optimizing for efficiency at the expense of effectiveness?

Assumption Examination

What beliefs about effective governance might we need to question? How have our mental models of board effectiveness evolved? What "best practices" might not be best for our specific context?

Capability Evolution

How have our collective governance capabilities developed over time? What new competencies do we need to cultivate? How can we better leverage the diverse wisdom around our table?

Future Orientation

What governance challenges are we not yet prepared for? How can our learning processes become more systematic and effective? What legacy do we want to leave through our



LEARNING LOOP INTEGRATION PROCESS

Monthly Learning Check-ins:

Review progress on current governance experiments Share insights from recent external learning experiences Identify emerging learning needs or opportunities Adjust learning priorities based on strategic developments

Quarterly Learning Synthesis:

Integrate insights from retrospectives and audits Identify patterns across multiple learning experiences Develop hypotheses for next quarter's experiments Update governance development priorities

Annual Learning Strategy Review:

Assess overall governance learning effectiveness Evaluate development in collective wisdom and capability Set learning objectives for the coming year Adjust learning architecture and processes



LEARNING EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

Process Measures

Frequency and quality of reflection sessions Number of governance experiments conducted Participation in external learning opportunities Documentation and sharing of insights

Outcome Measures

Decision quality improvement over time Governance process efficiency gains Director satisfaction with board effectiveness Stakeholder feedback on governance quality

Capability Measures

Collective wisdom demonstration in complex decisions Adaptive response to changing strategic challenges Integration of diverse perspectives and expertise Innovation in governance approaches

Based on research from King & Badham (2019) "Mindfulness at work: A critical re-view"; King, Norbury & Rooney (2020) "Coaching for Leadership Wisdom"; and King & Murdoch (2021) "Mindful Board Assessment Survey."

Download additional resources at: <u>www.drlizking.com</u>

Dr -

META-ATTENTION TOOLKIT FOR BOARDS

Collective Attention Assessment Rate your board's current capability (1-5 scale):

Shared Scanning □ We explicitly coordinate attention across different domains □ Directors focus on different environmental sectors systematically We avoid all focusing on the same information sources Pattern Integration □ We regularly connect dots between seemingly unrelated observations Quarterly sessions dedicated to thematic analysis □ Cross-functional insights are synthesized at board level Weak Signal Amplification □ Minority perspectives are actively sought and heard □ Uncomfortable data receives adequate consideration □ Contrarian views are welcomed and explored **Collective Reflection** □ We examine our own attention patterns regularly □ Gaps between intended and actual focus are identified □ Board attention allocation is consciously adjusted Adaptive Response □ We modify attention allocation based on emerging patterns

- Real-time adjustments are made during meetings
- □ Learning from attention patterns informs future agendas

- Dr -Tirabeth King Leadership in Uncertainty

Attention Mapping Exercise

Instructions: Each director plots their primary attention areas on the strategic landscape below

Strategic Domains

Financial Performance:	%
Market/Competitive:	%
Operational Excellence:	%
Technology/Innovation:	%
Regulatory/Compliance:	%
Stakeholder Relations:	%
1Risk Management:	%
Culture/Talent:	%
Sustainability/ESG:	%

Collective Attention Gaps

What domains are under-attended?
What emerging areas need more focus?
Weak Signal Protocol Template
Meeting Date: Assigned Director:
Contrarian Perspective to Explore:
Data/Observations that Challenge Assumptions:
Questions Raised: Board Response:



PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFETY BUILDING PRACTICES

Pattern Integration Session Guide

Quarterly Review Questions:

What themes emerge across different information sources? Which stakeholder signals are converging or diverging? What story do our various data points tell together? What possibilities become visible through systems thinking? Which patterns require board attention vs management action?

Meta-Meeting Review Checklist

Actual attention allocation vs intended priorities reviewed Quality of collective focus during key discussions assessed Attention drift patterns identified and addressed Collective sensing capability gaps noted □ Adjustments planned for next meeting cycle

Based on research from King & Badham (2019) "Mindfulness at work: A critical re-view"; King, Norbury & Rooney (2020) "Coaching for Leadership Wisdom"; and King & Murdoch (2021) "Mindful Board Assessment Survey."

Download additional resources at: www.drlizking.com



PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFETY ASSESSMENT FOR BOARDS

INDIVIDUAL DIRECTOR SELF-ASSESSMENT Rate your comfort level (1-5 scale: 1=Very Uncomfortable, 5=Very Comfortable)	
Expressing Uncertainty I feel comfortable saying "I don't know" in board meetings I can admit when I've made a mistake without fear of judgment I feel safe asking questions that might seem obvious to others I can express uncertainty about complex issues without losing credibility 	
Challenging Ideas I feel comfortable disagreeing with the chair or CEO I can question prevailing assumptions without social consequences I feel safe raising concerns about popular initiatives I can express minority viewpoints without feeling excluded 	
 Sharing Concerns I feel comfortable raising sensitive issues I can discuss potential failures or risks openly I feel safe reporting information that contradicts optimistic projections I can express concerns about fellow directors' behaviour if needed 	
Learning Orientation I feel comfortable admitting when I've changed my mind I can acknowledge gaps in my knowledge or expertise I feel safe experimenting with new approaches I can learn from failures without shame or blame 	
Individual Score /60	

37

Dr -

COLLECTIVE BOARD ASSESSMENT

Rate your board's culture (1-5 scale: 1=Never, 5=Always)

Leader Modeling

□ Chair/CEO acknowledge their own uncertainties and limitations

- Leaders actively seek perspectives that challenge their views
- □ Authority figures demonstrate vulnerability appropriately
- □ Leadership responds constructively to dissent and challenge

Inquiry Culture

□ Questions are welcomed and explored thoroughly

- Curiosity is valued over quick consensus
- In Minority perspectives are actively sought and heard
- Debate and discussion are encouraged, not avoided

Failure Learning

Near-misses and small failures are examined constructively
 Learning from mistakes is prioritised over blame assignment
 Past decisions are reviewed for improvement opportunities
 Failures are treated as data rather than judgement occasions

Dissent Protocols

Systematic processes exist for surfacing disagreement
 Devil's advocate roles are formally assigned when needed
 Structured debate formats are used for complex decisions
 Minority reports or dissenting views are documented

Truth-Telling Rewards

Directors who raise difficult issues are thanked and supported
 Uncomfortable realities are addressed rather than avoided

38

- $\hfill\square$ Messengers of bad news are protected, not punished
- Honesty is explicitly valued over harmony



PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFETY BUILDING PRACTICES

Vulnerability Round Template (Use at quarterly meetings)

Opening Script:

"I'd like each director to briefly share one area where you feel uncertain or would value board input on your thinking about our current challenges."

Director Prompts:

"I'm struggling to understand..." "I'm uncertain about..." "I'd value your perspectives on..." "I'm concerned about... but not sure if..."

Pre-Mortem Protocol

Use before major decisions

"Imagine it's 18 months from now and our decision has failed spectacularly"

"What are the most likely reasons it failed?"

"What warning signs should we watch for?"

"How can we mitigate these risks?"

"What would we wish we had considered today?"



PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFETY ASSESSMENT FOR BOARDS

Anonymous Concern Channel Setup

Implementation Checklist:

Confidential reporting mechanism established Clear process for handling sensitive issues Protection protocols for concern raisers Regular review and response procedures Communication about how concerns are addressed

Dissent Appreciation Scripts

Use when directors raise challenging questions:

"Thank you for raising that important concern" "I appreciate you bringing a different perspective" "That's exactly the kind of question we need to explore" "Your willingness to challenge our thinking is valuable"

SAFETY INDICATOR TRACKING

Monthly Observations

- Number of questions asked during meetings
- □ Frequency of minority viewpoints expressed
- □ Instances of directors changing their mind
- □ Quality of debate on controversial topics
- □ Time spent exploring dissenting views

Red Flags for Psychological Unsafety

- □ Meetings consistently end in unanimous agreement
- □ Directors rarely ask questions or express uncertainty
- □ Challenging topics are avoided or quickly dismissed
- □ Same voices dominate discussions repeatedly
- □ Bad news or concerns are not surfaced until crises emerge



SCORING INTERPRETATION

Individual Scores (out of 60)

50-60: High psychological safety40-49: Moderate safety with room for improvement30-39: Low safety requiring attentionBelow 30: Critical safety deficit

Board Culture Scores (out of 100)

80-100: Excellent psychological safety culture
60-79: Good culture with enhancement opportunities
40-59: Developing culture requiring systematic improvement
Below 40: Poor culture requiring immediate intervention

NEXT STEPS

- If scores are high: Maintain current practices and model for other boards
- If scores are moderate: Implement 2-3 building practices consistently for 6 months
- If scores are low: Engage external facilitation and commit to systematic culture change

Based on research from King & Badham (2019), Edmondson (2019), and the Mindful Board Assessment Survey. Download additional resources at: <u>www.drlizking.com</u>

– Dr – hothe ing ira eadership in Uncertainty

PURPOSE-TO-AGENDA LINE-OF-SIGHT TOOLKIT

PURPOSE ALIGNMENT ASSESSMENT

Rate your board's current alignment (1-5 scale: 1=Poor Alignment, 5=Excellent Alignment)

Mission Connection

 Every agenda item clearly connects to organizational purpose Board discussions regularly reference mission and values Strategic priorities guide agenda allocation Purpose-driven conversations receive adequate time 	
Time Allocation Analysis	
 60% or more of board time focuses on future value creation Strategic discussions receive priority scheduling Operational reporting is streamlined and focused Compliance matters are handled efficiently 	
Decision Framework	
 Major decisions explicitly reference the organizational mission Trade-offs are evaluated against stated values Stakeholder impact is considered through purpose lens Long-term mission achievement guides choices 	
Board Engagement	
 Directors understand how their role advances organizational purpose Meeting discussions energize rather than drain participants Board service feels meaningful and impactful Strategic conversations generate excitement and commitment 	

Purpose Alignment Score //80

– Dr – ing adership in Uncertainty

MISSION FILTER PROTOCOL

Pre-Meeting Preparation

Every board paper must include a 100-word "Purpose Connection" statement answering:

- 1. How does this topic advance our organizational mission?
- 2. Which strategic pillar does this support?
- 3. What is the stakeholder value creation potential?
- 4. What happens to our mission if we ignore this issue?

Purpose Connection Template

Topic:	
Mission Link:	
Strategic Pillar:	
Stakeholder Value:	
Mission Risk if Ignored:	



STRATEGIC TIME BUDGETING WORKSHEET

Step 1: Define Your Strategic Priorities

List your organization's top 5 strategic priorities:

1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Step 2: Allocate Ideal Time Percentages

Based on strategic importance, what percentage of board time should each receive?

Strategic Priority 1:	%
Strategic Priority 2:	%
Strategic Priority 3:	%
Strategic Priority 4:	%
Strategic Priority 5:	%
Risk Management:	%
Operational Review:	%
Governance/Compliance:	%

Total: 100%



STRATEGIC TIME BUDGETING WORKSHEET

45

Step 3: Track Actual Time Allocation

For the last three board meetings, calculate actual time spent:

Meeting 1 Date:	
Strategic Priority 1:	%
Strategic Priority 2:	%
Strategic Priority 3:	%
Strategic Priority 4:	%
Strategic Priority 5:	%
Risk Management:	%
Operational Review:	%
Governance/Compliance:	%
Meeting 2 Date:	
Strategic Priority 1:	%
Strategic Priority 2:	%
Strategic Priority 3:	%
Strategic Priority 4:	%
Strategic Priority 5:	%
Risk Management:	%
Operational Review:	%
Governance/Compliance:	%
Meeting 3 Date:	
Strategic Priority 1:	%
Strategic Priority 2:	%
Strategic Priority 3:	%
Strategic Priority 4:	%
Strategic Priority 5:	%
Risk Management:	%
Operational Review:	%
Governance/Compliance:	%



STRATEGIC TIME BUDGETING WORKSHEET

46

Step 4: Identify Gaps

Priority areas receiving insufficient time:

Areas consuming excessive time:

Biggest misalignment:



PURPOSE CHECK-IN PROTOCOL

Meeting Opening (2 minutes)

Chair asks: "Before we begin, let's take a moment to reflect on how today's agenda serves our organizational mission and stakeholder value creation."

Mid-Meeting Pulse Check

"Are we focusing our attention on what matters most for achieving our purpose?"

Meeting Closing

"How did today's discussions advance our mission? What should we prioritize differently next time?"

QUARTERLY PURPOSE AUDIT

Review Questions

1. What percentage of our board time was spent on strategic value creation vs. operational review?

- 2. Which agenda items struggled to connect clearly to our mission?
- 3. What important purpose-driven topics received insufficient attention?
- 4. How did our attention allocation align with our stated strategic priorities?
- 5. What patterns of mission drift are we noticing?



PURPOSE CHECK-IN PROTOCOL

Purpose Audit Template

Quarter:	
Total Board Meeting Hours:	
Time Category Analysis:	
Strategic Development	hours (%)
Risk Oversight	hours (%)
Operational Review	hours (%)
Compliance/Governance	hours (%)
Stakeholder Relations:	hours (%)

Mission Connection Assessment

Strong mission connection	agenda items
Moderate mission connection	agenda items
Weak/unclear mission connection	agenda items

Action Items for Next Quarter

- 1. Increase time allocation for: _____
- 2. Reduce time allocation for:
- 3. Eliminate or delegate:
- 4. New purpose-driven topics to add: _____



AGENDA ARCHITECTURE TEMPLATE

Purpose-Aligned Meeting Structure

Opening (10 minutes)

Purpose reflection and intention setting
 Review of strategic priorities for context

Strategic Block 1 (60-90 minutes)

Most important strategic priority discussion
 Future-focused value creation topics
 Major decisions requiring board wisdom

Operational Block (30-45 minutes)

- □ Streamlined performance updates
- □ Risk monitoring and mitigation
- Compliance and regulatory matters

Strategic Block 2 (45-60 minutes)

□ Second strategic priority

- □ Stakeholder and culture topics
- □ Innovation and opportunity exploration

Closing (15 minutes)

□ Purpose achievement reflection

- □ Next meeting priority setting
- Action item clarity and ownership



IMPLEMENTATION TRACKING (YES/NO)

 Monthly Assessment Mission filter applied to all agenda items Strategic time budget reviewed and adjusted Purpose check-ins conducted during meetings Director engagement and energy levels monitored 	
Quarterly Review Purpose audit completed Time allocation gaps identified and addressed Agenda architecture effectiveness evaluated Board purpose alignment score reassessed 	
 Annual Evaluation Overall mission advancement assessed Board contribution to organizational purpose measured Strategic priority achievement reviewed Purpose-to-agenda alignment effectiveness evaluated 	
SUCCESS INDICATORS	
Quantitative Measures • 60%+ of board time focused on strategic priorities • <20% of agenda items fail mission filter test • Director engagement scores >4.0/5.0 • Strategic decision speed improved by 25%	
 Qualitative Indicators Directors report high sense of purpose and meaning Management receives clear strategic direction Stakeholders observe consistent mission focus Board discussions generate energy and commitmen 	

Based on research from King & Badham (2019), King, Norbury & Rooney (2020), and BCG Board Effectiveness Studies

Dr -

THE DISCERNMENT LADDER TOOLKIT

Pre-Decision Preparation

Identify the core decision or challenge Acknowledge current emotional state Set intention for wise discernment

Level 1: Pause and Ground

Questions for Reflection:

What am I feeling right now about this situation? How might my emotional state be influencing my perception? What assumptions am I bringing to this discussion?

Practice: Take three conscious breaths before responding

Level 2: Expand Perspective

Questions for Reflection:

Whose voices are represented in this discussion? Whose perspectives might be missing? How would different stakeholder groups view this situation?

Practice: Explicitly name 3-5 stakeholder groups affected

Level 3: Question Assumptions

Questions for Reflection:

What would have to be true for our current approach to be inadequate? What beliefs are we taking for granted? Where might we be wrong?

Practice: Challenge one core assumption explicitly

– Dr –

THE DISCERNMENT LADDER TOOLKIT

Level 4: Generate Options

Questions for Reflection:

What possibilities exist that we haven't yet considered? How can we move beyond either/or thinking? What would a completely different approach look like?

Practice: Generate at least three alternative approaches

Level 5: Choose with Wisdom Questions for Reflection

Which path serves both immediate needs and long-term flourishing?

How does this choice align with our deepest values? What would we want to be remembered for?

Practice: Articulate the wisdom principle guiding the decision

- Dr ling irabeth eadership in Uncertainty

THE DISCERNMENT LADDER TOOLKIT

Monthly Assumption Audit Template

Month:	
Assumption to examine:	
Evience supporting:	
Evidence challenging:	
Alternative perspectives:	
Revised understanding:	

Implementation Tracking (Yes/No)

Discernment Ladder used in last major decision	
Stakeholder perspectives explicitly considered	
Assumptions questioned before finalizing choices	
Wisdom principles articulated in decision rationale	

Based on research from King & Badham (2019) "Mindfulness at work: A critical re-view"; King, Norbury & Rooney (2020) "Coaching for Leadership Wisdom"; and King & Murdoch (2021) "Mindful Board Assessment Survey."

Download additional resources at: www.drlizking.com